

## EMERGENCY SHELTER (ES)

OUTPUTS	2017			
	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR4
Number Served	331	366	395	
Youth Shelter Number Served	40	31	36	
<b>Average Length of Stay for Clients</b>				
Discharged Clients	49	45	45	

2014	2015	2016
696	701	750
79	81	95
48	47	48

Timeliness at Entry				
0 days	50%	50%	43%	
1- 3 days	31%	35%	38%	
4-6 days	6%	6%	11%	
7-10 days	3%	4%	4%	
11+ days	10%	5%	3%	

52%	61%	53%
24%	19%	28%
4%	5%	7%
3%	1%	2%
17%	13%	10%

Timeliness at Exit				
0 days	8%	9%	11%	
1- 3 days	19%	20%	20%	
4-6 days	14%	16%	10%	
7-10 days	7%	13%	18%	
11+ days	53%	42%	41%	

6%	8%	4%
11%	15%	19%
6%	12%	10%
9%	8%	6%
68%	56%	60%

OUTCOMES	2017			
	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR4
<b>Households in ES exiting to a permanent housing.</b>				
Positive Discharge Destination	66%	64%	54%	
SOS & HOPE ONLY	62%	52%	49%	
CG ONLY	85%	90%	100%	
Number of Exits	200	171	172	
Recidivism Rate (HOPE & SOS)	0.6%		0.78%	

2014	2015	2016
47.5%	47.0%	57.8%
37.9%	38.7%	50.9%
		86.5%
5.7%	10.3%	8.4%

### CURRENT PROJECTS

HOPE ES

SOS ES

CG Basic Shelter (270 & 9388)

## TRANSITIONAL HOUSING (TH)

OUTPUTS	2017			
	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR4
Number Served	119	117	120	

2014	2015	2016
239	222	174

Average Length of Stay for Clients				
Active Clients	343	317	292	
Discharged Clients	456	309	462	

-	523	330
458	489	390

Timeliness at Entry				
0 days	3%	5%	11%	
1- 3 days	9%	12%	11%	
4-6 days	14%	9%	3%	
7-10 days	6%	6%	6%	
11+ days	68%	68%	69%	

3%	4%	4%
8%	10%	9%
4%	7%	13%
10%	7%	10%
75%	72%	63%

Timeliness at Exit				
0 days	0%	17%	0%	
1- 3 days	0%	17%	4%	
4-6 days	0%	11%	13%	
7-10 days	4%	11%	4%	
11+ days	96%	44%	79%	

3%	5%	5%
12%	2%	9%
6%	3%	3%
5%	2%	2%
74%	88%	82%

OUTCOMES	2017			
	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR4
<b>Households in TH exiting to a permanent housing</b>				
Positive Discharge Destination	96%	75%	97%	
Number of Exits	23	24	34	

2014	2015	2016
78.6%	85.3%	54.3%

Clients that returned to ES/TH after exiting TH				
	0	0	0	

--	--	--

### CURRENT PROJECTS

- Common Ground ASP
- Common Ground GAP
- Lighthouse Apts.
- Lighthouse Houses
- Lighthouse Teen
- Lighthouse South Oakland (closed 2016)

Reports are run by 'Oakland County- TH Projects' provider group.

## RAPID REHOUSING (RRH)

OUTPUTS	2017			
	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR4
Number Served	213	266	280	

2014	2015	2016
305	242	291

Average Length of Stay for Clients				
Active Clients	118	132	173	
Discharged Clients	112	143	150	

-	165	63
71	114	120

Timeliness at Entry				
0 days	7%	5%	3%	
1- 3 days	11%	12%	14%	
4-6 days	7%	5%	4%	
7-10 days	6%	6%	9%	
11+ days	69%	70%	69%	

30%	22%	23%
6%	6%	6%
5%	6%	7%
5%	4%	6%
53%	62%	59%

Timeliness at Exit				
0 days	8%	9%	25%	
1- 3 days	22%	12%	23%	
4-6 days	3%	9%	16%	
7-10 days	3%	0%	0%	
11+ days	65%	71%	36%	

22%	9%	11%
1%	2%	12%
2%	0%	1%
1%	6%	2%
73%	83%	74%

OUTCOMES	2017			
	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR4

2014	2015	2016
------	------	------

Households in ES exiting to a permanent housing.				
Positive Discharge Destination	86%	92%	77%	
Number of Exits	36	65	49	

41.8%	67.3%	78%
-------	-------	-----

Clients that returned to ES/TH after exiting RRH				
	2	1	1	

--	--	--

### CURRENT PROJECTS 2017

CHN HUD RRH  
 CHN HUD RRH2  
 CHN HUD RRH3  
 CHN ESG RRH  
 LH HUD RRH  
 SOS ESG RRH  
 TTI SSVF RRH  
 BWCIL SSVF RRH  
 OHLSA SSVF RRH  
 OHLSA VET RRH

Reports are run the Oakland County- RRH Projects w/ SSVF provider group.

## PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH)

OUTPUTS	2017			
	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR4
Number Served	650	651	622	

2014	2015	2016
696	697	685

Average Length of Stay for Clients				
Active Clients	1463	1491	1529	
Discharged Clients	1394	1173	1275	

1929	1654	1359
1104	1542	1283

Timeliness at Entry				
0 days	8%	7%	6%	
1- 3 days	17%	17%	17%	
4-6 days	11%	12%	12%	
7-10 days	7%	6%	7%	
11+ days	58%	58%	58%	

10%	8%	8%
6%	9%	15%
6%	6%	10%
6%	7%	7%
73%	70%	60%

Timeliness at Exit				
0 days	5%	5%	0%	
1- 3 days	0%	0%	13%	
4-6 days	10%	23%	25%	
7-10 days	40%	15%	31%	
11+ days	45%	56%	31%	

10%	7%	7%
3%	4%	3%
5%	6%	17%
8%	10%	11%
75%	73%	62%

OUTCOMES	2017			
	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR4
<b>Households in PSH exiting to permanent housing</b>				
Positive Discharge Destination	74%	79%	83%	
Number of Exits	20	37	16	

2014	2015	2016
71.2%	80.7%	63.2%

Clients that returned to ES/TH/RRH after exiting PSH				
	1	6	4	

--	--	--

### CURRENT PROJECTS

CHN OCHLAP1  
 CHN OCHLAP2  
 CHN OCHLAP5  
 CHN OLAP1  
 CHN OLAP2  
 CHN OLAP6  
 CHN OLAP7  
 CHN CG  
 LH CHLAP  
 MDHHS SPC (CHN & TTI)  
 SOS CLAP  
 SOS CLAP2  
 TTI HLA1

Reports are run the Oakland County- PSH Projects provider group.

## Oakland CoC Performance Measurement Report

OUTPUTS	2017			
	QTR1	QTR2	QTR3	QTR4
<b>M 1: CoCs greater than 70% (&gt;70%) of Rapid Rehousing clients that entered from streets, shelter or safe haven.</b>				
Total %	74.2%	78.9%	80.9%	
<b>M 2: CoCs where a minimum of 65% (&gt;65%) of all Rapid Rehousing clients have obtained a unit.</b>				
Total %	70.6%	72.0%	88.4%	
<b>M 3: CoCs where a minimum of 85% (&gt;85%) of literally homeless Veterans have been discharged into housing (permanent or staying with friends/family temporarily).</b>				
Total %	75.9%	64.3%	72.0%	
<b>M 4 A &amp; B: CoCs where a minimum of 80% (&gt;80%) of (a) Prevention and (b) Rapid Re-Housing clients have been discharged into permanent housing</b>				
A. Total %	97.9%	100.0%	100%	
B. Total %	89.3%	93.7%	75.5%	
<b>M 5: CoCs that have done a VI-SPDAT (V2) on greater than 80% (&gt;80%) of Category 1 clients receiving Emergency Shelter and/or Rapid Rehousing services (SSVF/RHY projects excluded)</b>				
A. Total %	91.7%	87.3%	86.5%	
<b>M 6: Less than 15% (&lt;15%) of those exiting to permanent housing returned to homeless within a two-year time period.</b>				
A. Total %				